## FILED UNDER SEAL

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 1 1 2	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVATCharles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151) charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com Melissa Baily (Bar No. 237649) melissabaily@quinnemanuel.com James Judah (Bar No. 257112) jamesjudah@quinnemanuel.com Lindsay Cooper (Bar No. 287125) lindsaycooper@quinnemanuel.com 50 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, California 94111-4788 Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700  Marc Kaplan (pro hac vice) marckaplan@quinnemanuel.com 191 N. Wacker Drive, Ste 2700 Chicago, Illinois 60606 Telephone: (312) 705-7400 Facsimile: (312) 705-7401  Attorneys for Google LLC	N, LLP	
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
4	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
5		Case No. 3:20-cv-06754-WHA	
6	GOOGLE LLC,	Related to Case No. 3:21-cv-07559-WHA	
7   8	Plaintiff,	GOOGLE LLC'S RESPONSE TO THE COURT'S REQUEST FOR INFORMATION (DKT. 549)	
9	VS.	The Hon. William H. Alsup	
20	SONOS, INC.,	Date: March 30, 2023	
21	Defendant.	Time: 8:00 a.m. Location: Courtroom 12, 19th Floor	
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		Case No. 3:20-cv-06754-WHA	

GOOGLE'S RESPONSE TO THE COURT'S REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

Google LLC ("Google") files this brief in response to the Court's Request for Information (Dkt. 549). A ruling that the asserted claims of U.S. Patent No. 10,779,033 ("'033 patent") are invalid would not moot Google's breach of contract and conversion claims because such claims do not hinge on the validity of the '033 patent. An invalidity finding would not change the fact that Sonos has improperly claimed a right in development work arising from the parties' collaboration. *See generally* Dkt. 83-2 (Google's Reply in Support of its Motion for Leave to File its Second Amended Complaint) at 4.

With respect to breach of contract, Sonos violated the Content Integration Agreement (Dkt. 479-4, "CIA") by claiming Google's cloud queue API for itself in at least the following ways. First, Sonos breached the CIA by claiming Google's development work during prosecution of the '033 patent, including in the November 1, 2019 amendment that introduced limitations related to a "remote playback queue," and then accusing Google of infringement of the patent based on Google's use of the result of that development work—the cloud queue API. Dkt. 515-37 (Google's Opposition to Sonos's Motion for Summary Judgment Regarding Google's Contract-Related Claims) at 10-11, 12-14. Second, Sonos breached the CIA by using Google's cloud queue API to engage in integrations with Google's competitors, which is separate from the '033 patent or its validity. *Id.* at 11-12. Third, Sonos breached the CIA by filing other patents purporting to cover Google's development work on the cloud queue API after the parties' collaboration. *See id.* at 9-10; *see also* Dkt. 515-32 (Opening Expert Report of Samrat Bhattacharjee Regarding Invalidity of U.S. Patent Nos. 10,779,033 and 9,967,615 and Other Issues) ¶¶ 811-12. None of these breaches would be mooted by a finding of invalidity of the '033 patent.

With respect to conversion, Google's claim would similarly not be mooted by a ruling that the '033 patent is invalid. Specifically, Google claims that Sonos wrongfully exercised dominion over the cloud queue technology by both (1) filing patents that purport to cover the idea, including patents other than the '033 patent, and (2) claiming ownership over the cloud queue API with other music providers. Opposition at 25. Neither theory is solely contingent upon the validity of the '033 patent.

1	Although Google's affirmative claims would not be moot, if the '033 patent is invalidated		
2	and the Court is of the view that the claims should be dropped in order to streamline the issues for		
3	3 summary judgment and trial, Google will withdraw th	summary judgment and trial, Google will withdraw those claims without prejudice.	
4			
5		MANUEL URQUHART & N, LLP	
6	6		
7	7 By	Chaulas V. Vauhaanan	
8	8   Ch	Charles K. Verhoeven arles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151)	
9		rlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com lissa Baily (Bar No. 237649)	
10		lissabaily@quinnemanuel.com nes Judah (Bar No. 257112)	
11	11 jan	esjudah@quinnemanuel.com	
12		dsay Cooper (Bar No. 287125) lsaycooper@quinnemanuel.com	
13	50 Ca	lifornia Street, 22nd Floor	
13	Suit 1	rancisco, California 94111-4788 none: (415) 875-6600	
14	1.4.11	nile: (415) 875-6700	
15	15 Mar	c Kaplan <i>(pro hac vice</i> )	
16	16 mar	ckaplan@quinnemanuel.com . Wacker Drive, Ste 2700	
17		go, Illinois 60606	
18		none: (312) 705-7400 nile: (312) 705-7401	
19	19 Attorn	eys for Google LLC	
20	20		
21	21		
22	22		
23	23		
24	24		
25	25		
26	26		
27			
28			

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule 5-1, I hereby certify that, on March 29, 2023, all counsel of record who have appeared in this case are being served with a copy of the foregoing via the Court's CM/ECF system and email.

<u>Charles K. Verhoeven</u> Charles K. Verhoeven